

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,129	12/20/2001	Christine J. Landry-Coltrain	83466LMB 2382	
	7590 08/26/2003			
Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			EXAMINER	
			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	43
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<i>(</i> -1)	5~
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		10/028,129	LANDRY-COLTRAIN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under			
•	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT ITOTIT CONSIDERATION.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
•	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	` '	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).	
·) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachmen				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/028,129

Art Unit: 1774

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-42, 44 and 45, drawn to an ink jet recording element, classified in class 428, subclass 32.34.
- II. Claim 43, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 347, subclass 105.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different method such as the method of making an ink print by printing with an ink pen.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: elements with polyester particles 1) below the topmost layer (claims 2, 12-14 and 19-28), 2) in the topmost layer (claims 3-11, 18 and 44) and 3) both in the topmost layer and below (claims 15-17 and 45).



Application/Control Number: 10/028,129

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 29-42 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim



Application/Control Number: 10/028,129

Art Unit: 1774

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-2424. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRSchwartz August 23, 2003